
A PRACTICAL WHITE PAPER FOR B2B SERVICE FIRMS

The B2B Outbound Readiness Playbook

Find the gaps before you scale.

A 15-question scorecard, practical templates, and a 30-day improvement plan for agencies and professional services firms building a stronger B2B pipeline in the UK and Europe.

ASSESS

Five parts of your system

PRIORITISE

Three focused fixes

EXECUTE

A 30-day plan

Bohdan Beisiuk

bohdanbeisiuk.com

OCTOBER 2026 / FIRST EDITION

02 / THE OPERATING MODEL

Why more outreach can leave the pipeline unchanged

A busy outreach team can still have an empty pipeline. The problem may sit in account selection, the offer, the handoff, or the next step after a call. Increasing volume multiplies whichever process is already there.

This playbook is for agency founders, business development leaders, and specialist service firms selling into the UK and Europe. Use it when referrals are unpredictable, outbound feels inconsistent, or you are deciding whether to add people and tools.

Part of the system	The decision it must support
ICP and exclusions	Which companies have a problem we can credibly help solve?
Account research	What evidence makes this account relevant, and why approach it now?
Offer and message	What useful first step can this buyer understand and evaluate?
Conversation and handoff	What needs to be confirmed, and who will lead that discussion?
Pipeline ownership	What decision comes next, on what date, and who owns it?

How to use the next eight pages

Score the system as it works today. Use evidence from recent accounts, replies, meetings, and CRM records. If a process exists only in one person's head, score it as partial. Identify the lowest-scoring section, then choose three improvements you can own and review.

Working rule: make one segment and one offer clear enough to test. A market such as "Europe" or a promise such as "more growth" still needs a buyer, a problem, an entry point, and evidence.

The scorecard is an author-developed organisational tool. It is not a validated predictive model, a legal audit, or a promise of commercial results.

03 / SELF-ASSESSMENT

Your 15-question readiness scorecard

0 = absent or unchecked 1 = partial or inconsistent 2 = documented and used

Area	Check the evidence	0-2
A. ICP	1. Can a researcher identify our buyer and the problem we solve?	___
	2. Do clear exclusion rules prevent poor-fit accounts entering the list?	___
	3. Can another person apply the ICP using observable evidence?	___
B. Offer	4. Does the first step have clear scope, output, and purpose?	___
	5. Can we show relevant evidence of capability without overstating it?	___
	6. Are claims and expected outcomes bounded and supportable?	___
C. Research	7. Are account observations supported by a source and date?	___
	8. Do we distinguish verified facts from commercial hypotheses?	___
	9. Does the message connect an observation to a buyer problem?	___
D. Operations	10. Is someone accountable for data and message quality?	___
	11. Do objections and opt-outs reach a shared suppression process?	___
	12. Are sender setup and sending practices checked before launch?	___
E. Pipeline	13. Do we share a definition of a qualified meeting/opportunity?	___
	14. Does every live opportunity have an owner and dated next step?	___
	15. Do we review why opportunities progress, stall, or are lost?	___

Subtotals: A ___ /6 B ___ /6 C ___ /6 D ___ /6 E ___ /6

Total: ___ /30 **First section to improve:** _____

Prioritise the lowest section; do not use a high total as permission to scale. Critical gaps, such as unprocessed opt-outs or misleading claims, must be fixed before expanding activity. Score again after the changes.

04 / TARGETING WORKSHEET

Define an ICP you can actually research

An ICP becomes useful when two people can use it to select similar accounts. Describe a business situation and the owner of its consequences. Add exclusions before building the list.

Field	Illustrative example: a specialist B2B SEO agency
Company and market	UK B2B technology firms with an established product and a defined commercial team.
Buyer and problem	Marketing director responsible for generating relevant inbound demand.
Situation worth checking	A new enterprise offer has launched, but its target buyer and supporting content are unclear.
Evidence to collect	Product launch page, published case studies, relevant search pages, and an identified marketing owner.
Exclude	No established offer; no capacity to serve customers; no relevant expertise on our side; requests for guaranteed rankings.
First useful step	A bounded review of how the enterprise offer is presented to its intended buyer.

The example is fictional and illustrates account-selection logic. Public information cannot establish internal budget, urgency, or the cause of a performance problem. Validate these in conversation.

Write your campaign hypothesis

We help **[company type]** in **[one market]** address **[specific problem]**. We contact **[role]** when we observe **[verifiable situation]**. Our first step is **[bounded output]**. We exclude **[conditions]**.

Your version:

05 / ACCOUNT RESEARCH

Find a credible reason to reach out

Research should change the commercial question you ask. A congratulatory sentence is useful only when it connects to a plausible need. Treat a signal as a reason to investigate, not proof that a company wants to buy.

Observed signal	Hypothesis to explore	Question to validate
First sales hire in a new country	Account selection and local positioning may need definition.	Which buyer segments will the new team prioritise?
Launch of an enterprise service	The firm may need a distinct buying conversation.	How is the team qualifying demand for the new offer?
New commercial leader	Pipeline stages and ownership may be under review.	Which part of the current pipeline is hardest to manage?
New partner programme	Referrals may need qualification and a handoff process.	What makes an introduction useful for your team?

Use an evidence record for every priority account

Account: _____ **Buyer:** _____
Observation: _____
Source URL and date checked: _____
What we infer: _____
What we still need to ask: _____

Use the company's own announcements, vacancies, reports, product pages, and interviews where possible. Recheck time-sensitive observations before sending. If AI helps summarise research, open the source and verify the claim yourself.

Cross-border check: validate the language, buyer role, proof, delivery arrangements, and local requirements for each country. Translate only after the proposition makes sense. Avoid national stereotypes and false familiarity.

06 / MESSAGING WORKSHOP

Write the message around the buyer

Illustrative scenario: a consultancy has publicly launched a UK offer. The sender has reviewed that announcement and is proposing a focused conversation. These examples do not report campaign results.

A weak opening

Hi Alex, we are a leading business development consultancy offering end-to-end solutions. We help businesses achieve growth through innovative strategies. Are you available for a call this week?

A more useful opening

Subject: UK account priorities

Hi Alex,
I saw your announcement about the UK launch of your enterprise service.

One question worth testing early is which buyer situation makes that service urgent. A broad company list can hide very different reasons to buy.

I help B2B service firms define their ICP, account research, messaging, and CRM handoff. Would a short discussion about the account-selection approach for the launch be useful?

Why it is stronger: the observation is verifiable; the problem is presented as a hypothesis; the scope is understandable; the request relates to the buyer's situation. It avoids pretending to know the company's internal performance.

A follow-up that adds substance

Hi Alex, one way to narrow the first UK list is to separate accounts by the problem owner and the event that makes a conversation timely. Are you testing one buyer group first, or several in parallel?

Adapt the follow-up to the actual account and prior reply. Stop when asked; follow the applicable rules for each market and channel. A sequence should add context, not repeatedly demand attention.

07 / SALES PROCESS

Turn replies into qualified opportunities

A response is a starting point. It may indicate interest, a referral, a future possibility, or simple politeness. The next conversation should establish a business problem and whether your service is a sensible next step.

Stage	Evidence needed to move forward
Relevant reply	The person has engaged with the topic or identified a more suitable owner.
Qualified conversation	A relevant problem and service fit are confirmed; remaining unknowns are explicit.
Qualified opportunity	There is an identifiable decision process and an agreed next action with an owner and date.
Proposal	Scope, desired output, key participants, and evaluation approach have been discussed.
Review / close	A decision, reason for delay, or reason for loss is recorded. A reply alone is not a win.

Minimum handoff before a senior expert joins

Account context: observed situation, source links, people contacted.

Response context: what was offered and what the person actually said.

Questions: consequence of the problem, current approach, decision participants, timing, and constraints.

Next step: what the meeting should resolve, who attends, and who follows up.

A useful next step is specific: "We will review the first ten target accounts together on Thursday" is actionable. "Send information and stay in touch" needs clarification before it becomes a pipeline commitment.

Review meeting-to-opportunity progression and the reasons behind it. Keep delayed, disqualified, and lost records distinct. If meetings do not progress, inspect qualification, scope, and ownership before increasing outreach volume.

08 / EXPERIENCE AND EXECUTION

Lessons from building an outreach team

I built and managed an international outreach team of 30 people. That work contributed to a B2B directory's growth from zero to 14,000 agency listings. Listings describe the scale of the directory; they are not a count of paid clients or a claim that outreach alone caused the company's growth.

The most transferable lesson is that scale depends on decisions other people can repeat. A team needs to know what a good account looks like, what evidence supports the opening message, and who owns the next action.

1. Write the decision rules before adding people

Give researchers clear inclusion and exclusion criteria, acceptable source types, and examples of borderline accounts. Review a small shared sample so that everyone sees how the rules apply.

2. Make quality visible

Check whether an account fits, the contact is relevant, and the message is supported by evidence. When several people make the same mistake, examine the instruction and feedback process before blaming individual effort.

3. Design the handoff as carefully as the outreach

Record the angle that created the response. Pass the prospect's actual words to the expert joining the call. Assign follow-up ownership before the meeting ends.

4. Train judgment, then support it with tools

Use automation for administration and consistent execution. Keep accountability for selection, claims, sensitive replies, and commercial decisions with people. Expand only after the team can explain what works and where the process breaks.

Manager's weekly review: inspect a few accepted and rejected accounts, one useful reply, one stalled handoff, and the next decision for each live opportunity. Agree the improvement and its owner.

Read the [DesignRush case study](#) for more context on this experience.

09 / IMPLEMENTATION

Your 30-day improvement plan

Use the scorecard to choose a focused experiment. The work below helps you examine the process and its assumptions. It is not a promise of clients or revenue within 30 days.

When	Work to complete	Output to review
Days 1-7	Review recent wins, losses, replies, and CRM records. Score the five areas. Choose one segment, one problem, and one first step.	A documented ICP, exclusions, and three ranked improvements.
Days 8-14	Research an initial sample, such as ten accounts. Record source links and hypotheses. Review it with the person who sells or delivers the service.	A checked account sample, two relevant message angles, and a handoff brief.
Days 15-21	Launch a controlled batch after sender and data-use checks. Assign replies and next steps. Have experts review relevant conversations.	Actual replies, qualification notes, and evidence of where the process stalls.
Days 22-30	Compare the responses with the hypothesis. Review opportunities and reasons for disqualification. Change one major variable at a time.	A decision to continue, revise the hypothesis, or stop the test, with reasons.

Your three priorities

Gap to fix	Owner and deadline	Evidence of completion
1. _____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____

A small account sample helps find obvious process problems; it does not establish a reliable conversion benchmark. If results are unclear, review fit, timing, evidence, and execution before drawing conclusions about the whole market.

10 / NEXT STEP

Fix the gaps before you scale

You should now have a clearer view of where the system is weakest. Select the improvement that makes the next decision easier: a tighter account list, a more useful entry offer, a credible message, or a clearer sales handoff.

Bring three gaps to a 20-minute conversation.

We can discuss your current process, the accounts you want to reach, and which change deserves attention first. If there is a fit, we can define a scoped next step.

Book a free 20-minute call

How I can help

My Cold Outbound service connects ICP research, account targeting, messaging, sequences, CRM ownership, and campaign execution. Depending on scope, it can also include team hiring, training, and quality control. Scope and investment are discussed around your actual starting point.

Explore the Cold Outbound service

<https://bohdanbeisiuk.com/cold-outbound/>

Further reading and operating checks

How to Build a B2B Cold Outbound System

B2B Outbound for Professional Services Firms

Review current [Google email sender guidelines](#) for sending to Gmail accounts, and the [ICO guidance on collecting information and generating leads](#) for the UK. Rules and recipient requirements vary by jurisdiction and channel; check those that apply to your campaign.

This is a practical framework based on professional experience, not original market research or a validated benchmark study. Fictional examples are labelled. Use only necessary business data, verify claims, and act on objections. No score guarantees commercial outcomes. First edition: October 2026.